Chris Nash

From: Myles Joyce

Sent: 03 February 2021 11:00

To:

STESTIGNY 2021 11.00

Subject: RE: Bovis/Taylor Wimpey Development Olney - Steering Group

Dear all,

Please find minutes from last Friday's Liaison meeting

Present: Cllr David Hosking (DH), Cllr Peter Geary (PG), Cllr Keith McLean (KM) Christopher Tennant (CT), Carl Beckett (CB), Alison Stringfellow (AS) (Both Resident), James Shuttleworth (JS), Victoria Southern (VS) both Bovis Homes Ltd, Emma Walton (EW). Richard Crick (RC) both Taylor Wimpey, Myles Joyce Chris Walton (MK Council)

Preliminary Matters.

- JS suggested each party introduce themselves what they do and who they represent. Done by all.
- DH asked if restriction on attended held for MK and Developer reps too. MJ explained the additional staff
 for this meeting was unusual due to the applications being discussed. Normally it would be VS and himself.
 Could consider limit of two. Neither agreement or disagreement to this consideration.

1. Apologies for absence

None

2. Minutes of last meeting and matters arising

Minutes accepted-some items on the agenda for discussion.

3. Matters arising

Nothing not on agenda

4. <u>Conditions Discharge</u>

VS agreed that conditions schedule would be published with minutes/agenda going forward. **Noted by all**

5. <u>Communications</u>

MJ noted on communications that bespoke website set up by Bovis and this would assist with updating residents etc. with free phone number and development in time of FAXQs section which suggestions from residents could assist with. CT noted website address already being disseminated. **Noted by all**

6. <u>CEMP and related matters</u>

Construction Traffic and Internal Route(s). MJ set out 2 options:

- 1. using existing Yardley Road access and construct new haul road close to boundary but away from pinch point to access both compounds.
- 2. creating new access off Aspreys to serve Bovis compound with existing access serving Taylor Wimpey

Potential for use of existing routes limited by build out to up to September 2021 but other Yardley road access will be for other traffic including residential only from April 2021. Either of the options would expect to be operational by September 2021 onwards. PG asked for clarification if in planning terms it was considered that the internal road would fall within PD rights under Part 4 of the GPDO. MJ confirmed it would but that planning permission is required

for the second option with an access from Aspreys. JS confirmed this would utilise the emergency access/egress. The second option remains live as a planning application under 21/0153/FUL.

JS noted huge difference between use as emergency access and for construction traffic in terms of use and no dropped kerbs for an emergency access. KM raised a potential issue about traffic turning and approach from one way and thought this was agreed. JS said it was not part of the agreement but could be put in place. MJ noted there was plenty of time to determine the current application given the timescales set out above. **CT and PG though the PD issue may be something for further discussion.** JS showed the occupation strategy and the limit to January 22 when the proposed internal roads could not be used and either of the option above would have to be employed.

Explained the need to separate traffic from the new houses for health and safety reasons and option 1 would avoid this but using the other Yardley Road site could not given build out and occupation of houses here. CT explains Parish Council always preferred an access route from Aspreys. Did note Option 1 moving away from the pinch point and welcomed it. JS noted S278 agreement requited installation of footpaths on Aspreys to the school by the 50th Occupation which should be completed in the summer holidays.

CB and AS both felt that the schedule of works could be rearranged to allow for alternative internal construction routes to be used away from existing residences. AS asked if noise attenuation fencing could be employed. JS said it could but this was likely to negatively impact on the existing hedges. CB felt its impact would not be sufficient in terms of noise attenuation. **JS said they could consider the routing matter further**

*see memorandum below

Construction Hours on site

KM referred to emails from residents about apparent breaches if the hours and that if they were to be extended they do need to apply. VS noted some equipment may have been fired up before 0800 and that the contractors had been clearly reminded of the hours to keep to.

7. AOB

- PG asked if Peter Sutton (operations) could be invited to these meetings and agreed that operations could be discussed early in future meeting. **Agreed**
- KM asked about the traffic lights and their control and reliability with regard to highway safety. VS to clarify matter
- DH asked about the wheelwash. **VS confirmed it would arrive** (15th Feb) KM noted if both options utilised may need a wheel wash for each aces/egress point

Memorandum

MJ referred all parties back to agreed behaviours and reminded them if the importance to maintain civility both in and around these meetings. The meetings were beneficial and progress had been made even if interested parties are not entirely happy with matters going forward. Both involved parties offered their apologies to the meeting for their behaviour. MJ thanked them both and felt he spoke for all in welcoming the recognition of the need to remain respectful given the usefulness of the liaison meetings and looked forward to seeing all at the next one in February.

Date and time of next meeting

26th February at 11am.

Kind regards,

Myles Joyce Interim Service Development Manager Planning Service Milton Keynes Council

Web: www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/planning-and-building

Milton Keynes Council | Planning Service | Planning, Strategic Transport and Placemaking | Civic | 1 Saxon Gate East | Milton Keynes | MK9 3EJ





CAUTION: This email originated outside of Milton Keynes Council.

Please be extra vigilant when opening attachments or clicking on links.

Report spam or suspected malicious email via the REPORT MESSAGE icon above.

All,

As discussed in todays meeting please see attached planning trackers.

Kind regards,

Victoria Southern BArch(Hons), MArchD, PGCert, ARB, RIBA Associate Technical Director





Part of the Vistry Group

This email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments you must not copy, distribute, disclose or use them for any purpose. If you have received this email in error, please notify postmaster@vistrygroup.co.uk and delete all copies from your system. Email communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or free from error or viruses. Vistry Group accepts no liability for any loss or

damage which may be caused by viruses. Opinions, conclusions and other information within this email unrelated to the business of Vistry Group are the responsibility of the individual sender. Vistry Group PLC is registered in England and Wales with registered number 306718. The registered office is 11 Tower View, Kings Hill, West Malling, Kent, ME19 4UY. You can view a copy of our privacy policy: https://hes32-

 $\frac{ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=https\%3a\%2f\%2fwww.vistrygroup.co.uk\%2fsite\%2dservices\%2}{fprivacy\%2f\&umid=36991ba3-5278-4d05-9c90-}$

 $\underline{5d6371493cf3\&auth=31bbc6a83b73e178539aa463ed38c9bab679818c-}$

c38baf8d34a9e1ee4af9a24275e0f4a5cff25421.